DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

JOSEPHAT HENRY resident of Harvey, KAY WILLIAMS resident of Harvey, SYLVIA BROWNE resident of Clifton Hill, MAUDE DREW resident of Estate Barren Spot, MARTHA ACOSTA resident of Estate Profit, JOSE BERRIOS, resident of Estate Profit, WILHELMINA GLASGOW as an individual and mother and next friend of SAMANTHA VIERA, a minor, both residents of Estate Profit, MERCEDES ROSA resident of Estate Profit, JULIAN ST. BRICE resident of Clifton Hill GEORGE RODRIGUEZ as an individual and as father and next friend of AMANDO and GEORGE E. RODRIGUEZ, Minors, all residents of Estate Profit, SONYA CIRILO resident of Estate Profit, RAQUEL TAVAREZ, resident of Estate Profit, NEFTALI CAMACHO, as an individual and as father and next friend of ANGEL JAVIER CAMACHO, a minor, both residents of Estate Profit, EYAJIE MALAYKHAN resident of Estate Profit, CHEDDIE KELSHALL resident of Estate Profit, and other persons too numerous to mention, A CLASS ACTION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ST. CROIX ALUMINA, LLC, ALCOA, INC., and GLENCORE, LTD, f/k/a CLARENDON, LTD,

Defendants.

TO: Lee J. Rohn, Esq./Gordon C. Rhea, Esq. Bernard C. Pattie, Esq. Derek M. Hodge, Esq. 1999-CV-0036

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS ST. CROIX ALUMINA, LLC[,] AND ALCOA[,]
INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S ORDER

Henry v. St. Croix Alumina, LLC

1999-CV-0036

Order Denying Defendants St. Croix Alumina, LLC[,] and Alcoa[,] Inc.'s Motion For Partial Reconsideration

Page 2

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Defendants St. Croix Alumina, LLC[,]

and Alcoa[,] Inc.'s Motion For Partial Reconsideration of Magistrate Judge's Order (Docket

No. 1129). Plaintiffs filed an opposition to said motion, and said Defendants filed a reply

in support of their motion.

Pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.4, parties may seek reconsideration of

an order issued by a judge or magistrate judge of this Court based upon "1. intervening

change in controlling law; 2. availability of new evidence, or; 3. the need to correct clear

error or prevent manifest injustice." LRCi 7.4. Defendants St. Croix Alumina, LLC, and

Alcoa, Inc., ask the Court to reconsider its Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions

to be Awarded Against Defendants for Willfully Delaying the Production of Crucial

Scientific Evidence (Docket No. 1124), entered August 6, 2007. Said Defendants request

that the "Court permit Defendants to re-depose, at Defendant's expense, those expert

witnesses of Plaintiffs who amend or supplement their opinions in accordance with the

August 6 Order." Motion at 1.

Having reviewed the parties' briefs and upon due consideration thereof, nothing

therein persuades the Court that its previous order requires modification or reversal. As

nothing in said Defendants' filings indicates an intervening change in controlling law or

availability of new evidence since the said order was entered, the Court interprets said

Case: 1:99-cv-00036-HB Document #: 1172 Filed: 11/14/07 Page 3 of 3

Henry v. St. Croix Alumina, LLC

1999-CV-0036

Order Denying Defendants St. Croix Alumina, LLC[,] and Alcoa[,] Inc.'s Motion For Partial Reconsideration

Page 3

Defendants' motion to be based upon the need to correct clear error or prevent manifest

injustice. However, while said Defendants claim prejudice from being unable to re-depose

those experts who may amend or supplement their opinions, the Court finds that any

prejudice would not be undue nor would manifest injustice result. It must be remembered

that the Court's said order was entered as a sanction against said Defendants for their delay

in producing the site assessment. The Court entered the order after finding that such

sanction was reasonable and proportionate to the discovery violation at issue and that

alternative sanctions were either too harsh and/or not commensurate with the discovery

violation.

Based upon the foregoing, it is now hereby **ORDERED** that Defendants St. Croix

Alumina, LLC[,] and Alcoa[,] Inc.'s Motion For Partial Reconsideration of Magistrate

Judge's Order (Docket No. 1129) is **DENIED**.

ENTER:

Dated: November 14, 2007

GEORGE W. CANNON, JR.

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE